

# YIELD OF NO-TILLAGE WINTER WHEAT AFTER SURFACE AERATION/HARROW TILLAGE OF THE PREVIOUS CORN CROP'S RESIDUES – LORADALE SILT LOAM

John H. Grove  
Department of Plant & Soil Sciences  
University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546  
PH: (859) 257-5852; Email: jgrove@uky.edu

## Research Objective:

Determine the impact of surface aeration/harrow tillage on the yield response of otherwise no-tillage wheat to fertilizer nitrogen.

## Methods:

Location: Fayette County/Spindletop  
Soil Type and Drainage: Loradale silt loam – well drained  
Previous Crop: Corn  
Tillage: No-Tillage (Lilliston 9680)  
Aeration Tillage/No-Tillage  
Cultivar: Southern States 8302  
Planting Date & Rate: Oct. 17, 2005; 41 seed/sq. ft.  
Harvest Date: June 29, 2006  
Fertilizer: Nitrogen – 0, 35, 75, 105 lb N/ac as 34-0-0 on 3/29/06  
Herbicides: Harmony – 0.5 oz/ac on 4/05/06  
Brominal ME4 – 0.75 pint/ac on 4/05/06  
Fungicides: Folicur – 8 fl oz/ac on 5/30/06  
Results: Average of 4 replications (Table 1).

## Discussion/Conclusions:

No-till wheat yields were excellent, likely due to the lower level of residues from the previous 140 bu/acre corn crop. These residues were redistributed with a hay tedder prior to the aeration treatments. A Genesis Tillage II unit equipped with helical tines and a Phoenix harrow was used to make the aeration treatments. The helical aerator was not angled, giving a very passive pass over the corn residues, but clearly pushed a portion of the residue into the soil. There was one treatment with the Phoenix harrow engaged and another with the harrow disengaged. There was a large average response (+35 bushels/acre) to fertilizer nitrogen (N), with yields increasing significantly, up to a total fertilizer N rate of 105 lb N/acre. There was no interaction between the aeration treatments and fertilizer N rate. Aeration plus the Phoenix tended to result in greater yields at the middle two N rates, changing the shape of the yield response to N. The simple effect of aeration treatment was not statistically significant (at the 90% level of confidence), though there was a trend for reduced yield with aeration. We continue to examine whether alternate methods of residue management will improve no-till wheat establishment and yield.

**Table 1. No-Till Wheat Yield Response to Surface Aeration and Nitrogen Aeration/Phoenix Tillage**

| Fertilizer aeration?<br>N Rate | No<br>No            | Yes<br>No | Yes<br>Yes | N Rate<br>Avg. |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| Lb N/acre                      | grain yield (bu/ac) |           |            |                |
| 0                              | 69.6                | 73.0      | 66.7       | 69.8 d         |
| 35                             | 86.2                | 86.8      | 92.7       | 88.6 c         |
| 70                             | 94.7                | 94.9      | 100.1      | 96.6 b         |
| 105                            | 110.8               | 101.2     | 100.8      | 104.3 a        |
| Aeration/Phoenix Avg.          | 90.3 a              | 89.0 a    | 90.1 a     |                |