

# INSECTICIDE SEED TREATMENTS COMPARED TO OTHER MANAGEMENT TACTICS FOR CONTROL OF APHID VECTORS OF BARLEY YELLOW DWARF VIRUS: 2005-2006

Doug Johnson  
Department of Entomology  
University of Kentucky, Princeton, KY 42445  
PH: (270) 365-7541, ext. 214; Email: doug.johnson@uky.edu

## **Experimental Design**<sup>1,2</sup>:

Split-Plot, with two planting dates, eight pesticide combinations, and four replications. All treatment were randomly assigned to identifying numbers, and experimental units using SAS Proc Plan.

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to some seed based issues this experiment could not be planted as originally designed. It is, therefore, not possible to make all the comparisons and thus inferences that are desired.

<sup>2</sup> This experiment was specifically set up to have an earlier than recommended planting date. This is necessary to increase the chances of obtaining enough aphid (and thus BYD) pressure to test the various treatments. It is, however, an artificial situation which provides an aphid / BYDv "nursery". This nursery would then serve as a source of aphids / BYDv to infest / infect the second planting which would not be the case in a production field.

## **Data Analysis:**

Analysis of Variance was conducted using SAS Proc GLM. Means separation was done using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welch test. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.

**Experimental Unit:** 7, 7" rows by 20' long.

**Location:** UK-REC, Princeton, (Caldwell Co.) KY.,

**Wheat Variety:** "Clark"

**Seeding Rate:** 40 seeds / ft<sup>2</sup>

**Tillage :** No-Till, following corn, flail mowing of stalks

## **Planting:**

**Equipment:** Hege, No-till plot planter

### **Planting Dates:**

1<sup>st</sup> Planting Friday Sep. 30, 05,

2<sup>nd</sup> Planting Friday Oct. 14, 05.

**N<sub>2</sub> Fertility:** 1<sup>st</sup> App.– 30 lb 15 Feb 06,  
2<sup>nd</sup> App. – 70 lb 15 Mar 06

**Herbicide:** Harmony Extra 1.2 oz/A 15 Mar 06

**Fungicide:** Tilt 4 oz/A 19 Apr 06

## **Insecticides:**

**Products/Rates:** See "Pesticide Treatments" for products and rates.

## **Foliar applications:**

**Equipment:** C0<sub>2</sub> powered backpack sprayer, 8004 flat fan nozzles at 42 psi, providing 20 gpa.

**Application Dates:** Fall: 23 Nov. 05, Winter 14 Mar 06.

**Seed treatments:** All seed applied treatments made by product manufacturer or their designated applicator(s) at rates targeted for sale in Kentucky.

<b>Pesticide Treatments:</b>	
1)	Dividend Extreme @ 2.0 fl. oz / 100 wt + Fall Warrior @ 3.5 fl. oz / Ac
2)	Dividend Extreme @ 2.0 fl. oz / 100 wt + Cruiser @ 1.0 fl. oz / 100 wt
3)	Raxal / Thiram @ 3.5 fl. oz / 100 wt + Fall Warrior @ 3.5 fl. oz / Ac
4)	Raxal / Thiram @ 3.5 fl. oz / 100 wt + Gaucho @ 1.0 oz. / 100 wt
5)	Dividend Extreme @ 2.0 fl. oz / 100 wt + Cruiser @ 1.0 fl. oz / 100 wt + Winter Warrior @ 3.5 fl. oz / Ac
6)	Raxal / Thiram @ 3.5 fl. oz / 100 wt + Gaucho @ 1.0 oz. / 100 wt + Winter Warrior @ 3.5 fl. oz / Ac
7)	Dividend Extreme @ 2.0 fl. oz / 100 wt
8)	Raxal / Thiram @ 3.5 fl. oz / 100 wt

Table 1 was not designed to illustrate differences between pesticides treatments. It solely illustrates the yield advantage in planting on or after the Hessian Fly free date (Oct. 15 for this location).

<b>Table 1. Comparing Planting Dates: Yield (Bushels per acre) ± Standard Error for wheat plots treated with various insecticide applications on the UK-REC in Princeton, KY during the 2005-2006 season.</b>					
<b>Pesticide Treatments</b>	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Planting 30 Sep. 05</b>		<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Planting 14 Oct. 05</b>		<b>DIFF (BU)</b>
	<b>n</b>	<b>MEAN ± SE</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>MEAN ± SE</b>	
1) Div. Ext. + Fall Warrior	3	63.9 ± 4.69	4	76.6 ± 4.37	12.7
2) Div. Ext. + Cruiser	3	77.8 ± 1.01	4	83.2 ± 8.19	5.4
3) Raxal/Thiram + Fall Warrior	3	69.6 ± 1.35	4	75.1 ± 3.37	5.5
4) Raxal/Thiram + Gaucho	3	67.8 ± 1.33	4	69.5 ± 4.16	1.7
5) Div. Ext. + Cruiser + Winter Warrior	3	80.0 ± 6.14	4	84.8 ± 4.04	4.8
6) Raxal/Thiram + Gaucho + Winter Warrior	3	86.5 ± 2.12	4	84.7 ± 3.89	-1.8
7) Dividend Extreme	3	56.8 ± 3.66	4	68.2 ± 5.69	12.2
8) Raxal/Thiram	5	57.6 ± 2.35	5	57.1 ± 2.76	-0.1
<b>Mean Difference =</b>					<b>4.55</b>

### **Results:**

There were no differences between the two fungicide treatments.  $F(1, 13) = 2.17$ ;  $Pr > F = 0.1642$ . Their yields were statistically the same regardless of fungicide product, or planting date and there was no interaction between fungicide package and planting date.

There was a significant difference in yields with respect to planting date.  $F(1, 48) = 5.11$ ;  $Pr > F = 0.0290$  (Table 1.). Six of the eight pesticide treatments resulted in greater

yields in the second planting date. On average, the second planting date yield advantage was at least 4.55 bushels. The differences between yields by planting date might have been larger had the second planting date been physically separate from the first planting date. (See footnote 2).

Comparing among various insecticide treatments is less obvious (Table 2.). Because all possible treatments are not represented in this study (See Footnote 1),

**Table 2. Comparing Pesticide Treatments. Yield (Bushels per acre) ± Standard Error for wheat plots treated with various insecticide applications on the UK-REC in Princeton, KY during the 2005-2006 season.**

1 <sup>st</sup> Planting - 30 Sep. 05			2 <sup>nd</sup> Planting – 14 Oct. 05		
TRT	n		TRT	n	
6	3	86.5 ± 2.12 a	5	4	84.8 ± 4.04 a
5	3	80.0 ± 6.14 a	6	4	84.7 ± 3.89 a
2	3	77.8 ± 1.01 abc	2	4	83.2 ± 8.19 a
3	3	69.6 ± 1.35 bcd	1	4	76.6 ± 4.37 ab
4	3	67.8 ± 1.33 bcd	3	4	75.1 ± 3.37 ab
1	3	63.9 ± 4.69 cd	4	4	69.5 ± 4.16 ab
8	5	57.6 ± 2.35 d	7	4	68.2 ± 5.69 ab
7	3	56.8 ± 3.66 d	8	5	57.1 ± 2.76 b

Values within a column preceded by the same letter are not significantly different using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welch mean separation test at the p =.05 level.

direct comparisons between some treatments are not possible. Nevertheless, there were definitely differences among pesticide treatments,  $F(7, 48) = 11.32$ ;  $Pr > F < 0.0001$ . We can see three general groupings in the data. Treatments 2, 5 & 6 are at the top, with treatments 1, 3 & 4 in the middle and treatments 7 & 8 yielding the least in both planting dates. This makes some sense. Neither of the least yielding treatments (7 & 8) was treated with any type of insecticide, and the fungicide treatments would not be expected to provide yield protection from BYD. The greatest yielding treatments (5 & 6) had a seed applied systemic insecticide and a winter applied foliar insecticide, while treatment 2 had a seed applied systemic insecticide. In this year the Fall only applications do not appear to have provided the level of protection obtained by the combination of seed applied + winter foliar application.

In this particular location in this year, BYD was a significant factoring reducing wheat yields. While all insecticide applications

appear to have provided some protection, only the two treatments (5 & 6) containing a seed applied insecticide + winter foliar insecticide consistently provide statistically significant greater yields than the insecticide free controls. The two seed applied insecticide + winter foliar insecticide combinations were not significantly different from one another.

**Summary Interpretation:**

1. Barley yellow dwarf was evident in these plots and certainly produced yield reducing pressure in the 2005-06 production season.
2. There were no differences between the two fungicide treatments.
3. There was a significant difference in yields with respect to planting date.
4. The fungicide only treatments consistently yielded less than did any of the treatments that included an insecticide.
5. There were statistically significant differences among insecticide treatments.

6. The treatments containing a systemic seed applied insecticide, as a group, yielded greater than did other insecticide treatments and those treatments which did not include an insecticide.
7. The two seed applied insecticide + winter foliar insecticide combinations were not significantly different from one another.
8. All treatments containing an insecticide(s) consistently produced greater numerical yields than did treatments without insecticides, though the yields could not always be separated statistically.

**Acknowledgements:**

The author wishes to acknowledge the Syngenta (Dr. Scott Cully) and Bayer (Mr. Charles Graham) corporations for providing the seed applied insecticides and getting the seed treated at their facilities. Additionally, I wish to thank, Mr. Bill Bruening, Ms. Dottie Call, Mr. John James and Mr. Charles Tutt (Plant & Soil Sciences) for help with the agronomic portions of this study. I also, express my gratitude to Ms. Stephanie Farmer for aid in preparation of this manuscript.