# **EVALUATION OF SEQUENTIAL FUNGICIDE APPLICATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT OF FUSARIUM HEAD BLIGHT OF WHEAT**

Carl A. Bradley, Kelsey Mehl, and Jafe Weems Department of Plant Pathology University of Kentucky Research & Education Center Princeton, KY 42445 PH: (270) 365-7541; Email: <u>carl.bradley@uky.edu</u>

### INTRODUCTION

Fusarium head blight (FHB; also known as scab) is likely the most economically important disease of wheat in Kentucky. Caused by the fungus, Fusarium graminearum, FHB can lead to reduced quality of harvested grain and reduced yields. The fungus produces a toxin known as deoxynivalenol (DON; also known as vomitoxin), that can contaminate grain. Harvested grain that has a DON level of at least 2 ppm may be subject to discounts or outright rejection at grain elevators. Complete control of FHB and DON with foliar fungicides used alone is not possible, and the use of moderately-resistant wheat varieties along with a fungicide application at the Feekes 10.5.1 growth stage (beginning flowering) is the recommended method of management. The fungicides Prosaro (Bayer CropScience) and Caramba (BASF Corporation) have been shown to be the most effective fungicides in reducing FHB and DON in multistate research studies conducted over several years. Although these fungicides are the best available, improved control of FHB and DON with fungicides is still needed. A research trial was conducted at the University of Kentucky Research & Education Center (UKREC) in Princeton, KY during the 2015-16 growing season with the objective of evaluating sequential fungicide applications for control of FHB and DON.

# PROCEDURES

Wheat (variety Agripro W1566) was planted into no-till corn stubble, and a mist-irrigation system was installed and ran during the wheat heading stages to provide an environment favorable for *F. graminearum* infection and FHB development. Fungicide treatments were applied to wheat plots using a  $CO_2$ -pressurized backpack sprayer, and included the following treatments:

- Nontreated check
- Prosaro applied at Feekes 10.5.1 (6.5 fl oz/A)
- Caramba applied at Feekes 10.5.1 (13.5 fl oz/A)
- Folicur applied at Feekes 10.5.1 (4 fl oz/A)
- Prosaro at Feekes 10.5.1 followed by Folicur 4 days later
- Carama at Feekes 10.5.1 followed by Folicur 4 days later
- Folicur at Feekes 10.5.1 followed by Folicur 4 days later

At the soft dough stage, wheat heads were rated for FHB severity and incidence and a "FHB index" was calculated by (FHB incidence X FHB severity/100). The FHB index is on a scale of 0 – 100, with the most severe level of FHB having a rating of 100. Grain samples were collected at harvest from each plot and were submitted to the University of Minnesota DON Testing Laboratory (St. Paul, MN) to test for the amount of DON in each sample.

The trial was set up in a randomized complete block design with 4 replications. Data collected were statistically analyzed using SAS software (v. 9.4; Cary, NC).

# **RESULTS**

As observed in Table 1, all treatments significantly reduced FHB index compared to the

nontreated check. Although Folicur applied once at Feekes 10.5.1 reduced FHB index compared to the nontreated check, all other treatments had significantly lower FHB index values than this treatment. The sequential applications of Prosaro/Folicur, Caramba/Folicur, and Folicur/Folicur did not provide a significantly greater level of control of FHB index compared to solo applications of Prosaro or Caramba.

Also observed in Table 1, all treatments significantly reduced DON compared to the nontreated check. None of the sequential application treatments provided a significantly lower DON value than prosaro or Caramba applied once at Feekes 10.5.1.

TABLE 1. EFFECT OF SOLO AND SEQUENTIAL FUNGICIDE APPLICATIONS ON FUSARIUM HEAD BLIGHT	•
(FHB) INDEX OF WHEAT AND DEOXYNIVALENOL (DON) CONTAMINATION IN HARVESTED GRAIN	

Fungicide	Timing	FHB index (0-100)	DON (ppm)		
Nontreated check	-	29.6 a*	3.3 a		
Prosaro	Feekes 10.5.1	5.8 c	1.2 bc		
Caramba	Feekes 10.5.1	3.0 c	0.8 c		
Folicur	Feekes 10.5.1	13.9 b	2.1 b		
Prosaro fb Folicur	Feekes 10.5.1 / 4 d later	5.0 c	0.9 c		
Caramba fb Folicur	Feekes 10.5.1 / 4 d later	1.9 c	1.3 bc		
Folicur fb Folicur	Feekes 10.5.1 / 4 d later	5.9 c	1.9 b		
*Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% level of confidence.					

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Prosaro or Caramba fungicide applied at the Feekes 10.5.1 growth stage reduced FHB and DON compared to the nontreated check, and sequential fungicide applications did not provide any additional control of FHB or DON compared to Prosaro or Caramba applied once. Although this research should be repeated before strong recommendations can be made, it appears that sequential fungicide applications for control of FHB and DON will not provide a benefit to wheat producers in Kentucky beyond what a single application can provide.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was funded by the Kentucky Small Grain Growers Association. Appreciation is given to John James, Jesse Gray, and the UKREC Farm Crew for help in establishing and maintaining the research trials.